Data Mining Lecture 9: Nearest Neighbours

Jo Grundy

ECS Southampton

13th March 2023

How would you classify this point?



Use the closest samples..











K-Nearest Neighbours: Assigns class based on majority class of closest K neighbours in featurespace



K = 1? blue star
K = 3? blue star
K = 5?

K-Nearest Neighbours: Assigns class based on majority class of closest K neighbours in featurespace



- \blacktriangleright K = 1? blue star
- K = 3? blue star
- \blacktriangleright K = 5? red dot

► K > 5?



- \blacktriangleright K = 1? blue star
- K = 3? blue star
- K = 5? red dot
- K > 5? red dot

We can get a decision boundary given *k*: for example:



The boundary gets smoother, and generalises better when k is high for example:



And with multi class classification, equally sized classes:





However, if k is too high, where some classes are less common, they can be missed





MNIST ipynb demo

Advantages?

Advantages?

- No assumptions made
- No training phase
- Simple and easy to implement

Problems?

Advantages?

- No assumptions made
- No training phase
- Simple and easy to implement

Problems?

- Doesn't scale well with lots of data
- Doesn't scale well with many dimensions

Nearest Neighbours - Regression

KNN can be used to perform regression

It uses the average value of the k closest data points



Nearest Neighbours - Regression

KNN can be used to perform regression

It uses the average value of the k closest data points



So a point at x = 1.4 will have a value ≈ 2 if k = 1 - 2



Nearest Neighbours - Regression



From overfitting to underfitting..

The mean squared errors can be measured for each value of k



Greatest errors at the edges, interpolation easier than extrapolation Tuning k carefully is important - best done using cross validation ipynb Height Weight Age regression demo

Up to now, each value in the k nearest neighbours has been treated equally.

Better: if closer neighbours are more important

We can use a range of weighting schemes to do this:

- Inverse Weighting
- Subtraction weighting
- Gaussian Weighting

Inverse Weighting:

$$w = \frac{1}{dist + c}$$

Where *c* is a constant, avoiding division by zero error if dist = 0



Subtraction Weighting:

$$w = \max(0, c - dist)$$

Where c is a constant



Gaussian Weighting:

$$w = \exp{rac{-dist^2}{c^2}}$$

Where c is a constant



Nearest Neighbours - KNN Weighted Regression:



Gaussian performs best here, especially with higher values of k



Still has greater errors at the edges of the data, interpolation easier than extrapolation

15 / 32

Again, to chose the best weighting scheme, measure performance using cross validation.

Problems?

- Heterogenous Data features with larger ranges have greater effects
- Outliers affect data a good deal, especially for low k
- ▶ For larger k, less common classes can get ignored
- Distance metric determines similarity usually Euclidean, works badly in high D
- Can use Hamming distance for categorical attributes
- Irrelevant data can force otherwise similar data samples to be far apart
- Computationally expensive if there are lots of data, or highly dimensional data

Curse of dimensionality:

For low dimensions, the number of points on the edge is very low E.g. for a line, the outer 1% of a line is 2% of the line (values at x > 0.99, and x < 0.1)

Curse of dimensionality:

For low dimensions, the number of points on the edge is very low E.g. for a line, the outer 1% of a line is 2% of the line (values at x > 0.99, and x < 0.1)

For a square, the outer 1% is $1-0.98^2=0.0396\approx 4\%$

Curse of dimensionality:

For low dimensions, the number of points on the edge is very low E.g. for a line, the outer 1% of a line is 2% of the line (values at x > 0.99, and x < 0.1)

For a square, the outer 1% is $1-0.98^2=0.0396\approx 4\%$

For a cube, the outer 1% is $1-0.98^3=0.0588pprox 6\%$

Curse of dimensionality:

For low dimensions, the number of points on the edge is very low E.g. for a line, the outer 1% of a line is 2% of the line (values at x > 0.99, and x < 0.1)

For a square, the outer 1% is $1-0.98^2=0.0396pprox 4\%$

For a cube, the outer 1% is $1-0.98^3=0.0588pprox 6\%$



This means in higher dimensions, data is nearly always extrapolated

Curse of dimensionality; For low dimensions, the size of a neighbourhood is small.

e.g. for k = 10, number of points N = 1,000,000

In a unit line, the average neighbourhood is $\frac{10}{10^6} = 0.00001$ long

Curse of dimensionality; For low dimensions, the size of a neighbourhood is small.

e.g. for k = 10, number of points N = 1,000,000

In a unit line, the average neighbourhood is $\frac{10}{10^6} = 0.00001$ long

In a unit square, the average side length is $\sqrt{rac{10}{10^6}}=0.003$ long

Curse of dimensionality; For low dimensions, the size of a neighbourhood is small.

e.g. for k = 10, number of points N = 1,000,000In a unit line, the average neighbourhood is $\frac{10}{10^6} = 0.00001$ long In a unit square, the average side length is $\sqrt{\frac{10}{10^6}} = 0.003$ long In a unit cube, the average side length is $\sqrt[3]{\frac{10}{10^6}} = 0.02$ long

Curse of dimensionality; For low dimensions, the size of a neighbourhood is small.

e.g. for k = 10, number of points N = 1,000,000In a unit line, the average neighbourhood is $\frac{10}{10^6} = 0.00001$ long In a unit square, the average side length is $\sqrt{\frac{10}{10^6}} = 0.003$ long In a unit cube, the average side length is $\sqrt[3]{\frac{10}{10^6}} = 0.02$ long



This can make it very difficult to work out which are closer, as the distances are nearly all the same

Solutions: For heterogenous data?

Solutions: For heterogenous data?

► For heterogenous data, can *normalise*

Solutions: For heterogenous data?

- For heterogenous data, can *normalise*
- Better to scale factors for each feature to optimise performance
- Could use this to do feature selection eg. if works best when scale factor = 0, then feature is useless!

Nearest Neighbours - KNN Solutions for high D?

Solutions for high D?

- Dimensionality reduction.. Care! Some aren't suitable (e.g. MDS, SOM)
- Also.. PCA:



A random direction could be better! Johnson Lindenstrauss lemma:

if points in a vector space are of high enough dimensionality, they may be projected into a lower dimensional space in a way which approximately preserves the distances between the points, this basis can be generated randomly

More solutions for high D?

More solutions for high D? • Use different metric:

More solutions for high D?

- Use different metric:
 - Hamming distance for categorical attributes
 - BM25 or TF-IDF for text data
 - Minkowski distance (p-norm) generalisation of Euclidean distance
 - Kullback Liebler Divergence for histograms

Solutions for lots of data?

Need to quickly find the nearest neighbour to a particular point in a highly dimensional space Solutions for lots of data?

- Need to quickly find the nearest neighbour to a particular point in a highly dimensional space
 - Could index points in a tree structure?
 - Could hash the points?
 - Could break up the space

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{K-D}}$ trees are binary tree structures that partition the space along an axis-aligned hyperplane

- Chose random dimension
- Divide along median value
- Repeat until depth limit reached or certain number of items in each leaf

For a simple dataset:

Tree:





Tree:



Split:

•

9



Split:







To Classify: (6, 3)

- Go to correct part of tree and search subspace
- If the border is closer than k-neighbours in the subspace:
 - Go back up tree and search







Problems?

- Doesn't scale well to high dimensions
- Often need to search much of the tree
- Need many more examples than there are dimensions, at least 2ⁿ
- There are approximate versions, not guaranteed exact answer but do scale
 - Based on ensembles of trees with a randomised split dimension

Locality Sensitive Hashing Makes hash codes that are similar for similar vectors

- Similar items map to the same buckets with high probability
- number of buckets much smaller than number of data samples
- Aims to maximise the probability of a collision for similar items

Nearest Neighbours - LSH

Accomplished by:

- Chose random hyperplanes (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_k)
- Each hyperplane with split the space in to 2 regions
- \therefore the space will be sliced in to 2^k regions (buckets)
- Giving a simple code for each of the data points, depending on which side of each hyperplane the data points are
- The same code for each of the data points within the same region
- Compare new point only to training points in the same region
- ▶ Repeat with different random hyperplanes $(h_1, h_2, ..., h_k)$

on board

Gives low complexity , $\approx {\rm O}(d\log n),$ as compare new data to only $\frac{n}{2^k}$

Nearest Neighbours - Summary

KNN can be used for regression as well as classification

- Using weighting can improve performance
- Poor performance with large data sets
- Can use K-D trees to help overcome these issues
 - Still can have issues with highly dimensional data
 - Often not much improvement in performance
- Curse of dimensionality
 - Affects neighbourhood size
 - Affects amount of extrapolation
- Can use dimensionality reduction to help (but be careful!)
- Fast approximate Nearest Neighbourhood methods LSH

Also: Final presentation does not need to be for full coursework, it is to show what you have done so far